MRLES Traffic Engineering across AS boundaries

Cristel Pelsser cpe@info.ucl.ac.be Université Catholique de Louvain Belgium



IDRWS 2004 337/383



- Problem statement
- Constrained intra-AS path computation
- Current inter-AS routing
- Proposal for constrained inter-AS path computation
- Remaining issues



Problem statement

- Use of MPLS across AS boundaries
 - VPNs
 - Faster recovery than with BGP
 - ♦ QoS
- Requirements are formulated at the IETF
 - ccamp (a lot of new drafts planned)
- Protocol extensions to RSVP -TE already proposed at the IETF

Establishment of inter-AS LSPs

(draft-pelsser-rsvp-te-interdomain-lsp-00.txt)

Protection of inter-AS LSPs

(draft-decnodder-mpls-interas-protection-01.txt)

Constrained intra-AS path computation

- Each node possesses the complete topology of its AS (No areas)
 - Link info:
 - ♦IGP cost
 - TE info with OSPF-TE or IS-IS TE



 The nodes only possess reachability information for prefixes outside the AS



The nodes only possess reachability information for prefixes outside the AS



 The nodes only possess reachability information for prefixes outside the AS



The nodes only possess reachability information for prefixes outside the AS



C. Pelsser - IDRWS 2004

IDRW 52004 344/383

 The nodes only possess reachability information for prefixes outside the AS
Alternate path through R3 never used



Constrained inter-AS path computation : Proposal

 Compute disjoint path based on local Adj-RIB-In and eXclude Route Object (XRO)



Constrained inter-AS path computation : Proposal

Compute disjoint path based on local Adj-RIB-In and eXclude Route Object (XRO)



347-383

Constrained inter-AS path computation : Proposal

 Compute disjoint path based on local Adj-RIB-In and eXclude Route Object (XRO)



Constrained inter-AS path computation : Proposal

 Compute disjoint path based on local Adj-RIB-In and eXclude Route Object (XRO)



Preliminary results

- Topology with :
 - 20 transits composed of 50 nodes
 - 190 stubs (all possible combinations of dualhomed stubs)
- Customer-provider policies between transit and stubs
- Constraint : node protection
- Optimise end-2-end cost (ex: delay)
- Backtracking (cranckback) when no path available for the required constraint
- No incremental establishment of LSPs
- available resources are not updated after each LSP establishment C. Pelsser - IDRWS 2004

Preliminary results



IDRWS 2004 351/383

Preliminary results



Remaining issues

- Work on heuristics for the choice of alternative next-hops (NH)
- All possible NH are not necessarily in the Adj-RIB-In of the local router Full-mesh of iBGP session:
 - All routers only know the best route selected by the other routers in the iBGP mesh Route-Reflectors (RR):
 - Clients only know the route selected by their RR
 - The RR should make the choice for its clients
- Work on link-state inter-AS routing protocols?

Conclusion

 Distributed disjoint path computation possible based on

♦ Adj-RIB-Ins

and

eXclude Route Object (XRO)

(draft-ietf-ccamp-rsvp-te-exclude-route-01.txt)

- Applicable for
 - Protection, load-balancing and TE
 - The ISPs can choose the AS-path (difficult with BGP)
 - Establishement of constrained inter-AS primary LSP

bandwidth, delay, link affinities constraints

